

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P. D. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.usplo.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
08/941,132	09/30/1997	YASUYUKI TANAKA	0649-SP0619P	5656	
2292	7590 02/23/2004		EXAMINER		
BIRCH STE	WART KOLASCH & BI	LIPMAN, BERNARD			
PO BOX 747	RCH, VA 22040-0747		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
FALLS CHUI	CO, VA 22040-0747		1713		
			DATE MAILED: 02/23/200	4	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)	-C7 C
		TANAKA ET AL.	
Advisory Action	08/941,132	Art Unit	
	Examiner Bernard Lipman	1713	
The MAILING DATE of this communication app	1		dress
			1,000
Therefore, further action by the applicant is required to a final rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may only be either: (condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appel Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114.	al (with appeal fee); or (3) a tim	ication. A proper rep ich places the applic	alionin
	EPLY [check either a) or b)]		
 a)	Advisory Action, or (2) the date set for a later than SIX MONTHS from the ma AS FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF	iling date of the final rejec THE FINAL REJECTION	tion. . See MPEP
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The fee have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period fee under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of (2) as set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Of timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37	l of extension and the corresponding a of the shortened statutory period for rep ffice later than three months after the r	mount of the fee. The apply originally set in the fina	propriate extension Il Office action; or
1. A Notice of Appeal was filed on 30 January 2004. 37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 CF	Appellant's Brief must be filed R 1.191(d)), to avoid dismissa	within the period set I of the appeal.	forth in
2. The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered	because:	,	
(a) X they raise new issues that would require furt	her consideration and/or searcl	n (see NOTE below);	
(b) they raise the issue of new matter (see Note	below);		
(c) they are not deemed to place the application issues for appeal; and/or	in better form for appeal by ma	aterially reducing or s	simplifying the
(d) they present additional claims without cance	eling a corresponding number o	of finally rejected clair	ns.
NOTE: See Continuation Sheet.			
3. Applicant's reply has overcome the following reje			
4. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would canceling the non-allowable claim(s).	ld be allowable if submitted in a	separate, timely file	d amendment
5. ☐ The a) ☐ affidavit, b) ☐ exhibit, or c) ☐ request for application in condition for allowance because: _		nsidered but does No	OT place the
6. The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered be raised by the Examiner in the final rejection.	ecause it is not directed SOLEL	Y to issues which we	ere newly
7. For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendme explanation of how the new or amended claims	nt(s) a)⊡ will not be entered o would be rejected is provided b	r b)⊡ will be entered elow or appended.	l and an
The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows	s:		
Claim(s) allowed:			
Claim(s) objected to:			
Claim(s) rejected:			
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:			
8.☐ The drawing correction filed on is a)☐ ap	proved or b) disapproved b	y the Examiner.	
9. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statem	nent(s)(PTO-1449) Paper No(s)	
10. Other:		·	
		Bernard Lipman Primary Examine	
		Art Unit: 1713	•

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTOL-303 (Rev. 11-03) Continuation of 2. NOTE: New issues of obviousness are raised by the new limitations inserted into claim 29 and those dependent thereon..